

ERAS improves outcomes after cystectomy Universenter: Justin Collins Long

University College NHS London Hospitals













	Patient out	comes	
Table 3. Patient outcomes with patien	ts categorized into two groups: group A, bef	ore implementation of the enhanced recover	v programme (ERP);
and group B, after implementation of	CERP.		
	Before implementation of ERP (group A)	After implementation of ERP (group B)	Statistical significance
LOS (days) for all patients	9 (8-13)	8 (6-10)	p < 0.001
LOS (days) for ileal conduit	9 (9-22)	8 (6-10)	p < 0.001
LOS (days) for neobladder	9 (8-12)	7 (6-10)	p < 0.01
Overall LOS			p < 0.01
1-10 days	53/86 (62)	107/135 (79)	$\sim$
11-20 days	19/86 (22)	21/135 (16)	
21-30 days	9/86 (10)	4/135 (3)	
31-40 days	2/86 (2)	3/135 (2)	
≥ 40 days	3/86 (3)	0/135 (0)	
Clavien 30 day complication rate for	all rotients		NS
None	35 (41)	58 (43)	
Clavien 1-2	30 (35)	51 (38)	
Clavien ≥ 3	21 (24)	26(19)	$\sim$
Clavien 30 day complication rate for	ileal conduit		P < 0.05
None	12 (32)	48 (49)	
Clavien 1-2	13 (34)	32 (33)	
Clavien ≥ 3	13 (34)	17 (18)	
Clavien 30 day complication rate for	neobladder		NS
None	23 (48)	10 (26)	
Clavien 1-2	17 (35)	19 (50)	
Clavien > 3	8 (17)	9 (24)	
Readmission rate	25 (29)	44 (33)	NS
		0.00	100













i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	Intracorporeal robot-assisted radical cystectomy, together with an enhanced recovery programme, improves postoperative outcomes by aggregating marginal gains We liste Tarit, "Award Marken Tarit, Berniel Kethert", Amar Maammed, Hiery Beker, Senthil Hathan", Timothy Briggst, Meane Tarit and Jan Duksy."				
	Present study	Koupparis et al. [10]	Miller et al. [9]	Collins et al. [19]	
Number of ERAS cases and technique	50 iRARC	102 iRARC	114 e/iRARC	135 iRARC	
Age, mean	66	68	67	70	
ASA ≥III, %	46	26		48	
Continent diversion, %	22	11	15	28	
Median LOS, days	7	8	7	8	
30-day complication, %	38	31	54	57	
30-day major complication, %	12	9	18	19	
30-day readmission, %	12	3	18		
90-day complication, %	42				
90-day major complication, %	12				
90-day readmission, %	12				
Key ERAS features					
No bowel prep	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Carbohydrate loading	Yes	Not specified	Yes	Yes	
Goal directed i.v. fluids	Yes	Not specified	Yes	Yes	
Spinal anaesthesia	Yes	Epidural	Rectus sheath catheter ± PCA	Yes	
Remove nasogastric tube immediately after	surgery Yes	Not specified	Yes	Yes	
Drain use	Yes	Not specified	No	Yes	
Prokinetic agents	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Chewing gum	Yes	Not specified	Not specified	Yes	
VTE prophylaxis	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Early mobilization	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Removal of stents	Day 10	Not specified	Day 5	Day 10	

BIA	British Journal of Anaesthesia, 115 (4): 560-8 (2015)
bjrt	dei: 10.2092/bj/arten05 Advance: Anness Publication Date: 29 April 2015 Clinical Practice
CLINICAL P	PRACTICE
Enhance enhance	d recovery from surgery in the UK: an audit of the d recovery partnership programme 2009–2012 <sup>†</sup>
J. C. Simpso A. McMeeki behalf of the	n <sup>1</sup> , S. R. Moonesinghe <sup>1,2</sup> , M. P. W. Grocott <sup>1,2,3</sup> , M. Kuper <sup>4</sup> , ng <sup>5</sup> , C. M. Oliver <sup>1,2</sup> , M. J. Galsworthy <sup>1,2</sup> , and M. G. Mythen <sup>1,*</sup> on e National Enhanced Recovery Partnership Advisory Board <sup>‡</sup>
<sup>3</sup> The Surgical Out Research Centre, Royal College of <i>I</i> Southampton; In Hospital Southan UK, <sup>4</sup> Homerton H	tcomes Research Centre (SOuRCe), University College London Hospitals NHR Biomedical London, UK, "National Institute of Academic Anaesthesia Health Services Research Chrit, University Hospitals Insesthetists, London, UK, "Anaesthesia and Chitical Care Research Unit, University Hospitals tegnative Physiology and Critical Illness Group, University of Southampton and University Inpo, University of Southampton NHK Respiratory Biomedical Research Unit, Southampton, One Jospital, Homerton Row, London, UK, and "National Cancer Action Team, NHS, London, UK

## Abstract

Abstract
Background: The UK Department of Health Enhanced Recovery Partnership Programme collected data on 24 513 surgical
patients in the UK from 2009–2012. Enhanced Recovery is an approach to major elective surgery aimed at minimizing
perioperative stress for the patient. Previous studies have shown Enhanced Recovery to be associated with reduced hospital
length of stay and perioperative morbidity.
Methods: In this national clinical audit, National Health Service hospitals in the UK were invited to submit patient-level data.
The data regarding length of stay and compliance with each element of Enhanced Recovery protocols for colorectal,
orthopaedic, urological and gynaecological surgery patients were analysed. The relationship between Enhanced Recovery
protocol orompliance and length of stay and ansured.
Results: From 16.267 patients from 61 hospital trusts, three out of four surgical specialties showed Enhanced Recovery
protocol synchrole to stay was messared.
median length of stay specialties, three out of four surgical specialties with no swing in granacology.
Gonclusions: This study is the largest assessment of the relationship between Enhanced Recovery protocol compliance
and outcome in four surgical specialties of 18, no 14, no 25 in colorectal, orthopaedics and
outcome in four surgical specialties of the relationship between Enhanced Recovery protocol compliance and
outcome in four surgical specialties of the relationship between Enhanced Recovery protocol compliance and
outcome in four surgical specialties of 18, no 14, no 25 in colorectal, orthopaedics and
outcome in four surgical specialties of the relationship between Enhanced Recovery protocol compliance and
outcome in four surgical specialties of the relationship between Enhanced Recovery protocol sa see was
be a simportant in reducing perioperative length of stary as any individual element of Enhanced Recovery protocols in isolation
between Enhanced Recovery protocol sa see was
be a simportant in reducing perioperative length of stary as any ind

Key words: anesthesia; general surgery; perioperative care

## Standardisation

- Understanding of complex surgery
- Promotes consistent feedback/guidance
- Comparisons on quality and benchmarking
- Identify 'what went wrong' and 'what went well'
- Enables comparison
- Used in professional sport and the aviation industry





		Adama Pondy - Ender Dimed by Kit or ps or p Systematic Review - Outcomes and Com Cystectomy Glasses Neura <sup>10</sup> , James 1 Becks G. Marphy <sup>2</sup> , Alwash Parks Without, Stacki	<ul> <li>Babbo Cancel (in nor plications After Robot-assister BL Catto<sup>1</sup>, Timothy Wilson<sup>1</sup>, Magnut Ann nr Mettyl<sup>1</sup>, Janes O. Pedody<sup>1</sup>, Ella C. Sili A Casto<sup>1</sup>, Banes O. Pedody<sup>1</sup>, Ella C. Sili A Casto<sup>1</sup>, Banes D. Pedody<sup>1</sup>, Ella C. Sili A Casto<sup>1</sup>, Banes D. Pedody<sup>1</sup>, Ella C. Sili A Casto<sup>1</sup>, Banes D. Selost<sup>1</sup>, Sili C. Sili A Casto<sup>1</sup>, Banes D. Selost<sup>1</sup>, Sili C. Sili A Casto<sup>1</sup>, Banes D. Selost<sup>1</sup>, Sili C. Sili A Casto<sup>1</sup>, Salesta Sili Casto<sup>1</sup>, Sili C. Sili A Casto<sup>1</sup>, Salesta Sili Casto<sup>1</sup>, Sili C. Sili A Casto<sup>1</sup>, Salesta Sili Casto<sup>1</sup>, Salesta Sili A Casto<sup>1</sup>, Salesta Sili Casto<sup>1</sup>, Salesta Sili A Casto<sup>1</sup>, Salesta Sili Casto<sup>1</sup></li></ul>	rioperative I Radical enastr', <i>kivis Chan'</i> , any',
Blood l	DSS			
(B)	KARC Study or Subgroup Nean SD Total 12.1 Randomized studies	ORC Mean SD Total Weight	Nean Difference IV, Random, 35N Cl. Year	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95N CI
	Heterogeneity, Not applicable Test for overall effect: 2 = 2.00 (p = 0.05)	20 5.15	-486.00 [-962.30, -9.70] -486.00 [-962.30, -9.70]	-
	1.2.2 Nonsenscorrined durdle           Bane 1006         440         259         81           Nag 2010         440         259         83           Starg 2012         440         229         85           Starg 2012         440         229         85           Addresse 2012         656.9         452         151           Addresse 2012         151         170         100           Mainth 2013         151         170         100           Mainth 2015         151         170         104           Heiteropensely Tark = 10005.7% 21 × 21.6         431         Heiteropensely Tark = 0.0005.7% 21 × 21.6	1,009 350 21 5.0% 1,172 316 104 14.3% 1,073 852 104 14.3% 1,078 7 972.1 35 4.0% 816 650 375 20.7% 611 491 102 13.3% 611 491 102 13.3% 1, df = 6 (p = 0.0005); l <sup>2</sup> = 715 1)	-530.001-1058.39, -190.61] 2006 -712.001-4959.43, -524.57] 2010 -615.001-4952.86, -427.12] 2022 -195.001-4753.86, -572.02] 2022 -195.001-4753.86, -572.02] 2023 -195.001-4753.86, -510.14] 2023 -459.001-4454.74, -268.252] 2023 -526.18 [-655.47, -386.88]	•++ <sup>1</sup> ++
	Tetal (ISSN CD 455 Networpsenity, Tau <sup>2</sup> + 16929.17; $\chi^2$ + 22.4 Test for overall effect; Z = 8.36 ( $p$ < 0.00002 Test for subgroup differences; $\chi^2$ = 0.03, df	<b>785 100.0%</b> 4, df = 7 ( $p = 0.002$ ); $P = 626$ 1) = 1 ( $p = 0.87$ ), $P = 06$	-521,76 [-644,02, -399,59]	+ -1000 0 500 10 Favors RARC Favors C
ĘRAS*	Society			









Stage of operation	Complications to avoid	Evolved technique
Patient selection	Inappropriate case selection for RARC	Avoid patients with decreased pulmonary compliance who cannot tolerate the Trendelenburg position. Avoid previous extensiv abdominal surgery and patients with bulky disease.
1: Port placement	Trauma to bowel adhesions	First port placed with Hasson technique. Camera port secured with purse string suture to prevent air leakage.
	Leakage from port sites	
2: Dissection of ureters	Ureteric strictures	Maintain adequate periureteral tissue on the mobilized ureters.
3. Development of anterior rectal space	Rectal injury	Good surgical planes; stay anterior to rectal fat.
<ol> <li>Development of lateral pelvic space</li> </ol>	Injury to the obturator nerve In elderly patients, atherosclerotic external iliac vessels may be tortuous in the pelvis.	Avoid injury to the obturator nerve by identifying it early in the dissection. If transected, it should be repaired with tension-free end to-end anastomosis using 9.0 Prolene interrupted sutures. Tortuous vessels should be identified.
5b (male). Nerve-sparing dissection	PSM on the prostate	Review imaging prior to operation. Intrafascial dissection can be use for T2 prostate tumours.
5 (female). Mobilisation of bladder and transection of urethra	Urethrovaginal or vesicovaginal fistula	With both organ-sparing and non-organ-sparing approaches, to avoit the potential of a vesicovaginal fistula, make sure that the vagin closure is not aligned with the cut urethra when an orthotop neobladder is planned.
6. Bladder take-down	Injury to the inferior epigastric vessels.	Avoid inferior epigastric vessels.
	Bleeding from the DVC	Increase pneumoperitoneum to 20 mmHg prior to dissection. Then, oversew with 3.0 V-Loc or Biosyn suture.
7. PLND	Damage to collapsed walls of the iliac and hypogastric veins	Careful dissection. If veins are damaged, a small cut is often controlle with pressure with or without Surgicel. Suturing a cut vein may resu in a tear and a larger hole in the vein.
8a. Removing the specimen	Ruptured specimen bag	Specimen is removed through an extended camera port in men, vagina in women. Make sure the incision is large enough. Th specimen can be removed under direct vision, with the camera place in a 15-mm hybrid port.
	Damage to the mesentery of the ileal conduit	
8b. Formation of the ileal conduit	Leakage from uretero-ileal anastomoses	The camera can be placed through a 15-mm hybrid port after remov of the specimen to verify that the mesentery is not malrotated ar anastomoses are not under tension. Single Least, with the and of the stear brought through the stear
		prevents temporary occlusion at the level of the abdominal wa caused by postoperative oedema.
		The ileal stoma should be formed after removal of the specimen ar decompression of pneumoperitoneum.



on of Urolog



ew – Bladder Cancer

<del>ca</del>l

Enhanced Recovery After Robot-assisted Radical Cystectomy: EAU Robotic Urology Section Scientific Working Group **Consensus View** 

Justin W. Collins", Hiten Patel<sup>®</sup>, Christofer Adding", Magnus Annerstedt<sup>\*</sup>, Prokar Dasgupta<sup>4</sup>, Shamim M. Khan<sup>4</sup>, Walter Artibani", Richard Gaston<sup>1</sup>, Thierry Piechaud<sup>1</sup>, James W. Catto<sup>\*</sup>, Anthony Koupparis<sup>\*</sup>, Edward Rowe<sup>4</sup>, Matthew Perry<sup>\*</sup>, Rami Issa<sup>1</sup>, John McGrath<sup>1</sup>, John Kelly<sup>\*</sup>, Martin Schumacher<sup>\*</sup>, Carl Wijburg<sup>\*\*</sup>, Johdulb E. Canda<sup>\*</sup>, Waviana D. Balbay<sup>\*</sup>, Karel Decaestecker<sup>\*</sup>, Christian Schwentner<sup>\*</sup>, Arnulf Stenzl<sup>4</sup>, Sebastian Edeling<sup>\*</sup>, Saas Pokupi<sup>\*\*</sup>, Michael Stock<sup>4</sup>, Stefan Sieme<sup>\*\*</sup>, Rafel Sanchez-Salas<sup>\*</sup>, Xavier Cathelineau<sup>‡</sup>, Robin Veston<sup>\*\*</sup>, Mark Johnson<sup>\*</sup>, Fredrik D'Hondt<sup>\*\*</sup>, Alexander Mottrie<sup>\*\*</sup>, Abolfazl Hosseini<sup>\*\*</sup>, Peter N. Wiklund<sup>\*\*\*</sup>

## Treatment of Non-Metastatic Muscle-Invasive Bladder Cancer: AUA/ASCO/ASTRO/SUO Guideline Cro Sam S. Chang, Bernard H. Bochner, Roger Chou, Robert Dreicer, Ashish M. Kamat, Seth P. Lerner, Yair Lotan, Joshua J. Meeks, Jeff M. Michalski, Todd M. Morgan, Diane Z. Quale, Jonathan E. Rosenberg, Anthony L. Zietman and Jeffrey M. Holzbeierlein From the American Urological Association Education and Research, Inc., Linthicum, Maryland: American Society of Clinical Oncology, Alexandria, Vrapinia; American Society for Radiation Oncology, Arlington, Vrapinia; and Society of Urologic Oncology, Inc., Schaumburg, Minoia Purpose: This multidiaciplinary, evidence-based guideline for clinically non-metastatic muscle-invasa and surveillance of muscle for Healthcare Research 9 Sadap A fars R live (2 of a Linically the surveillance of muscle for Mealthcare Research 9 Sadap A fars R live (2 of a Linically the surveillance of muscle for Mealthcare Research 9 Sadap A fars R live (2 of a Linically the surveillance of muscle for Mealthcare Research 9 Sadap A fars R live (2 of a Linically the surveillance of muscle for Mealthcare Research 9 Sadap A fars R live (2 of a Linically the surveillance of muscle for Mealthcare designant tions with additional site Results: For the first time to the first Site 2 of the factor of the survey of muscle for the surveillance of the survey of the survey for a durveil of the survey of the survey for the surveillance of the survey of the survey for the survey of the survey for the survey of the survey for the surveillance of the survey for the surveillance of the survey for the survey of the survey of the survey for the survey of the survey for the survey of the survey of the survey for the survey of the survey of the survey for the survey of the survey of the survey of the survey for the survey of the survey of t yrinnary, evidence-based guideline for clinically non 1. Sawis A. Kows W. Ward and M. Baseline M. at all and a straight of a straigh Abbreviations and Acronyms AC = adjuvant chemotherapy AC = adjuvant chemotherapy AHRQ = Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality ASC0 = American Society of Clinical Oncology ASTR0 = American Society for Radiation Oncology

AUA = American Urological

Collins JW, Patel H, Adding C et al: Enhance recovery after robot-assisted radical cyclectory FAU Robotic Unology Section Scientific Workin Group consensus view. Eur Urol 2016; 70: 649

Outpatient assessment	Day 2-4
Preoperative counselling and education. Verbal and written information supplied on operation and urinary diversion options and planned ERP	<ol> <li>Prevention of postoperative nausea and vomiting: regular antiemetics may be of benefit (metoclopramide)</li> </ol>
Preparation for surgery	2. Chewing gum [73]
1. Preoperative medical optimisation	3. Unrestricted diet
2. Preoperative nutritional optimisation	<ol> <li>Drain fluid routinely sent for creatinine day 2 and drain removed day 2 if drain fluid indicates serum creatinine levels</li> </ol>
<ol> <li>Seen by stoma nurse specialist; advice on stoma and neobladder care</li> </ol>	5. Thrombosis prophylasis: compression stockings and low molecular weight heparin
4. Cardiopulmonary exercise testing if indicated	<ol> <li>Regular analgesia: standardised polypharmacologic opioid-sparing analgesia to include paracetamol</li> </ol>
5. Advice and support for cessation of smoking	7. Early mobilisation
6. Social issues addressed and discharge planning	8. Daily nutritional supplements with nutrition goal 900 kcal/d
Day before radical cystectomy	9. Fluid/electrolyte (30 ml/kg per day)
1. No bowel preparation	<ol> <li>Encourage self-care (catheter care)flushing if neobladder and stoma bag care if ileal conduit)</li> </ol>
2. Carbohydrate loading [28,46]	Day 4 onwards
Day of radical cystectomy: day 1	1. Continue as previous; increase daily nutritional goal to 1500 kcal/d
<ol> <li>Solids up to 6 h and clear fluids up to 2 h preoperative including carbohydrate loading [28,46]</li> </ol>	2. Discharge home when criteria met:
2. Avoidance of long-acting sedatives	Pain adequately controlled
<ol> <li>Thrombosis prophylaxis: compression stockings and low molecular weight heparin</li> </ol>	Independently mobile
<ol> <li>Limited antimicrobial prophylaxis and skin preparation with chlorhexidine-alcohol (or equivalent solution)</li> </ol>	Regular diet/normal bowel function
5. Standard an aesthetic protocol to attenuate surgical stress response: intraoperative maintenance of haemodynamic control, central and peripheral oxygenation, muscle relaxation, optimised depth of anaesthesia with spinal and appropriate analgesia avoiding opiaters with peripheral action	Comprisent with neobladder or stoma care
6. RARC approach	Postdischarge
<ol> <li>Goal-directed fluid management with judicious use of fluid restriction [59]</li> </ol>	1. Stents out day 10 (no stentogram)
<ol> <li>Prevention of hypothermia (Bair Hugger: 3M Medical, Diegem, Belgium)</li> </ol>	2. Removal of clips at day 10
9. Removal of nasogastric tube in recovery	3. Contact with specialist nurse via telephone
	a study while of a small stars and an analysis















